## Quick Cryptography Intro

## Gayn B. Winters, Ph.D.

(c) 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015

## Topics Today

- Encryption
- Symmetric (Shared secret key): shifts, substitutions, permutations, stream and block ciphers, DES, AES
- Asymmetric (Public+Private keys): RSA, EI Gamal, Elliptic Curve
- Hash functions and digital signatures
- Session keys, SSL/TLS, HTTPS


## Future Talks

- Attacks and Secrecy
- Applications: blind signatures, anonymous communication and email, Tor, pseudonyms, digital cash, open transactions, voting, zero-knowledge proofs, Bitcoin, ...
- Privacy, Off-the-record messaging, startpage...
- Forensic and anti-forensic techniques
- Security: Attack prevention, detection, and recovery
- Quantum and Post-Quantum cryptography

Google yields many great papers, also Wikipedia has excellent, mostly current, articles. YouTube has some good talks. Books tend not to be current ... caveat emptor...

## History

- Will make some historical comments
- Read: David Kahn's Codebreakers, 1967, 1996 (abridged version is online) and visit david-kahn.com
- Google: History Cryptology/Encryption
- Dorothy E. Denning, Naval Postgraduate School, books and articles. dennin@nps.edu
- Bruce Schneier, www.schneier.com, textbook: Applied Cryptography, 1996; good blog


## Steganography

- Hiding the message
- Invisible ink, coded yarn, tatoos,...
- Embedding in a picture, video, music, radio...
- Many advanced techniques (Signal processing, coding theory, perception, ...)
- Steganalysis - finding the message
- Google: John Ortiz
- Youtube: stenanography
- Same advanced techniques
- Problem for Data Loss Prevention
- Problem for inbound malware
- Secrets of the Mujahideen


## Zeus: Famous Malware



Cyphort Labs

## Lots of Tools



## Network Cryptology

- Make open messages (in transit + in storage)
- Private: make msg unreadable
- Authentic: assure sender, receiver, data correct
- Non-repudiated: sender can't deny sending
- Other issues: leakage, replay, ...
- WARNING: Level of security of cryptology techniques is a future topic.


## Symmetric Shared Secret Key

- Let k be a shared secret key (Alice and Bob)
- Let $M$ be a message space, $C$ a cipher space
- Let $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{m})$ be an encryption $\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$
- Let $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{c})$ be a decryption; $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{m}))=\mathrm{m}$
- Alice wants to send message $m$ to Bob
- Somehow they share key $k$; also E, D
- Alice encrypts $m$ and sends $c=E(k, m)$
- Bob decrypts c to get $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{c})$


## Shift Ciphers

- $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{C}=(\mathrm{ASCII})^{\mathrm{n}}$ or (Unicode) $)^{\mathrm{n}}$
- Code number wraps modulo $\mathrm{N}=2^{8}$ or $2^{16}$.
- Key k in Z/N
- $m=\left(m_{i}\right)$ encrypt to get $E(k, m)=\left(c_{i}\right) ; c_{i}=k+m_{i}$
- $\mathrm{C}=\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ decrypt: $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{c})=\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}=-\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- (Can use any regional 8-bit code for ASCII as well as subsets with smaller N)
- Exercise: what are keys if just shift A, B, ..., Z ?


## Substitution/Permutation Ciphers

- $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{C}=(\mathrm{ASCII})^{\mathrm{n}}$ or (Unicode) ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}$
- Key $k$ is a permutation of (ASCII) or (Unicode)
- $m=\left(m_{i}\right)$ encrypt to get $E(k, m)=\left(c_{i}\right) ; c_{i}=k\left(m_{i}\right)$
- $\mathrm{c}=\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ decrypt: $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{c})=\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{k}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
- There are N ! keys $\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{N}=2^{8}$ or $2^{16}$.


## ADFGVX Substitution Ciphers

- ADFGVX chosen for distinct Morse Codes.
- RETREAT $\rightarrow$

XA DX FG XA FF FG
$36!$ Keys
(Permutations)
$36!$ Keys
(Permutations)

## A D F G V X

 distinct Morse.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AQN5 D P K } \\
& \text { D U F W } 3 \text { I E } \\
& \text { A } \\
& \text { G } 2 \text { L } 1 \text { V C S } \\
& \text { V B X M } 7 \text { H } 9 \\
& \text { X R } 4 \text { G } 0 \text { J Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Rearrangement/Permutation Ciphers

- $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{C}=(\mathrm{ASCII})^{\mathrm{n}}$ or (Unicode) $)^{\mathrm{n}}$
- $k$ is a permutation of $[0, n]$
- $m=\left(m_{i}\right)$ encrypt to get $E(k, m)=\left(c_{i}\right) ; c_{i}=m_{k(i)}$
- $C=\left(c_{i}\right)$ decrypt: $D(k, c)=\left(m_{i}\right) ; m_{i}=c_{j(i)} j=k^{-1}$
- There are $n$ ! keys $k$, but usually simple permutations are used such as transpositions



## Homophonic Ciphers

- $M \rightarrow$ random choice in a subset of $C$
- Typically take subset for letter $x$ to be proportional to the frequency of $x$. The ciphertext will have a flat distribution.
- Example: letters $\rightarrow$ subsets of 0-99
- E: 81864521086511
- T: 231548956401
- Etc.


## One Time Pad

(Vernam Ciper, AT\&T, Patented 1917 Invented much earlier)

- Let $K=M=C=\{0,1\}^{n}$
- Define $E(k, m)=k \underline{\text { xor }} m ; D(k, c)=k \underline{x o r} c$
- Number of keys $k$ is $|\mathrm{K}|=|\mathrm{M}|=2^{n}$
- If $k$ is truly random, OTP is totally secure, [Shannon, '47?; Bell STJ papers '49, '51]
- Truly random? How about Pseudo-random?
- Red phone: DC and Moscow STILL???


## (Linear) Feedback Shift Registers (LFSR)

- Need shift register of $n$ bits $\mathrm{S}_{0}, \ldots, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}-1}$
- Use $s_{0}$ as next pseudo-random bit, then
- Let $f$ be (linear) polynomial function
- Set $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}:=\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ for $\mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n}-1$ and $\mathrm{s}_{n-1}:=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{s}_{0}, \ldots, \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)$
- Can generate sequence of $2^{n}-1$ bits
- Only need $2 n$ values to predict all, if linear.


## Multiple-Shift Ciphers

- Misattributed to Blaise de Vigenère
- $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{C}=(\mathrm{ASCII})^{n}$ or (Unicode) ${ }^{n}$
- Instead of one key $k$, use a sequence $k=\left(k_{i}\right)$
- $E(k, m)=c_{i}=m_{i}+k_{i}$ modulo $N=2^{8}$ or $2^{16}$.
- $D(k, c)=m_{i}=c_{i}-k_{i}$ modulo $N=2^{8}$ or $2^{16}$.
- Cycle $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ when key list is exhausted
- Encoding/decoding via mechanical disk/drum keyed to the sequence $k$.


## Confederate Cipher Drum



## Multiple-Permutation Ciphers

- Ditto, but $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are permutations
- Enigma and Hagelin machines
- commercial and military

- Polish and British efforts: cracking machines
- Books and movies ... Story of Alan Turing



## Stream and Block Ciphers

- Stream Cipher is typically bit, character, or word at a time
- All previous examples are stream ciphers
- Block Cipher chunks up the message into fixed sized blocks, e.g. $\mathrm{n}=64$ or 128 bit blocks, and both E and D depend on n .
- Last block usually padded, e.g., with bits 1 , $0, \ldots 0$ so that each block has exactly n bits.


## Stream Ciphers

- Small and fast. Many popular applications
- Synchronous and asynchronous
- Self-synchronizing ciphers
- Serious security problems historically
- Many more examples: RC4, A5/N (GSM), E0 (Bluetooth), PY, HC-128, Trivium, Grain, ...
- Serious work, competitions, analysis, ... Need smaller and faster for new comm devices.


## Cryptographic Nonces

- Address the problem of replay: send $E(k, m)$ once and only once
- Generate non-repeating integer nonces $n_{i}$ and define $E^{\prime}(k, m)=E\left(k, n_{i} \| m\right)$ if $m$ is received with duplicate nonces, subsequent ones are rejected.
- Often time is encoded in a nonce


## The WEP Saga 802.11

- 40 bit key +24 bit IV $=64$ bit RC4 key for confidentiality and CRC-32 for integrity.
- Key will repeat after some 5000 messages
- Easily cracked in a few minutes.
- Now WEP uses 256 bit keys, stronger...
- Many laptops are unsecured. TJ Maxx breach was result of WEP.
- Bluetooth, barcode readers, PDAs, wireless printers, etc. can be hacked.


## Data Encryption Standard - DES

- NBS competition for commercial encryption, IBM (H. Feistel) "won", 1976 FIPS standard, 64 bit blocks
- NSA forced $64 \rightarrow 56$ bit key - "easy" brute force attacks. Slow Triple DES extended life. Still used.
- Algorithm makes sixteen 48 bit subkeys $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ from key k . 16 rounds: take a 32 bit half block, expand it to 48 bits, xor $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$, divide into 8 parts, apply 8 non-linear (" S block") lookups, permute.


## DES



## Advanced Encryption Standard - AES FIPS 197 Replaced DES in 2001

 Belgians Joan Daemen and Vincent Rijmen- 128 bit block ciphers of key sizes 128, 192, and 256 bits which take (fast) substitutionpermutation rounds of 10, 12, and 14 cycles.
- Code at aesencryption.net (asym, PHP, Java)
- As of 2014, there are some attacks that take less than key-size time, but no practical ones.


## AES-128 schematic

10 rounds


## Sharing Keys

- Usually, cryptography just assumes the encryption E and decryption D functions are known. The problem is how to share keys...
- No sharing is necessary with Public Key Encryption (PKE). Every individual has two keys. One private, secret key $\mathrm{k}_{\text {Asec }}$ that only the individual Alice knows, the other is public $\mathrm{k}_{\text {Apub }}$, that Alice publishes on a public web site for all to see.


## Asymmetric Public Key Encryption - PKE

- (G,E,D,K,K',M,C) is a PKE iff
- Key Generator $\mathrm{G}:\{ \} \rightarrow \mathrm{K} \times \mathrm{K}^{\prime}$ where G()$=\left(\mathrm{k}_{\text {pub }}, \mathrm{k}_{\text {piv }}\right)$
- Encryption E: KxM $\rightarrow \mathrm{C}$
- Decryption D: K' x C $\rightarrow$ M
- $D\left(k_{\text {priv }} E\left(k_{\text {pub }}, m\right)\right)=m$
- Each user of the (G,E,D) PKE gets a pair of keys from G. The keys $\mathrm{k}_{\text {pub }}$ and the functions E and D are made public.
- Philosophy: to find $\mathrm{k}_{\text {priv }}$ from $\mathrm{k}_{\text {pub }}$, must solve a hard problem taking unfeasible compute power.


## (Textbook) RSA

(Rivest, Shamir, Adleman, 1978)

- Hard problem: factor large n into primes.
- Choose large primes $p$ and $q$ of similar size, and set $n=p q($ keep $\phi(n), p$ and $q$ secret) where $\phi(n)=(p-1)(q-1)=\left|Z / n^{*}\right|$. For G: pick e in $Z / \phi(n)^{*}$ and compute $d=e^{-1}$. Then $\mathrm{k}_{\text {pub }}=(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{e})$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {priv }}=(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{d})$.
- For message $m$ in $Z / n$, define $E(k, m)=m^{e}$ and $D(k, m)=m^{d} \bmod n$.
- Theorem. $\mathrm{m}^{\text {ed }}=\mathrm{m} \bmod \mathrm{n}$


## Homework: Why RSA works

- Since ed $=1 \bmod \phi(n)$, ed $=1+k(p-1)(q-1)$
- In $Z / n, D(d, c)=c^{d}=m^{e d}=m^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)}=$ $m\left(m^{\phi(n)}\right)^{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{m}$ if m is invertible in $\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{n}$; if not, then $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)>1$ is a factor of $n$, say $m=r p$. Then $m^{1+k(p-1)(a-1)}=r p\left((r p)^{p-1}\right)^{(6 a-1)}=$ $\mathrm{rp}_{\mathrm{p}}$ mod q . Hence both m and $\mathrm{m}^{1+(\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{q}-1)}=0 \bmod \mathrm{p}$ and $=\mathrm{rp}$ mod q . By CRT they are equal $\bmod \mathrm{pq}=\mathrm{n}$.
- Hard to compute d from e: one must know $\phi(n)$ $=(p-1)(q-1)$. In which case, $p+q=n-\phi(n)+1$ and $p-q=\operatorname{sqrt}\left((p+q)^{2}-\right.$ 4n) and $p=(p+q) / 2+(p-q) / 2$ and $q=(p+q) / 2-(p-q) / 2$. Thus knowing $n$ and $\phi(n)$ yields the factors $p$ and $q$.


## Beware for RSA

- Primes p, q are "safe" iff p-1 and q-1 have large prime factors ( $\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{n}$ will have large cyclic subgroups.)
- Primes p and q cannot have same number of digits; else, search for $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$ starting at $\operatorname{sqrt(n)}$
- Public key e cannot be too small
- Stop using 1024 bit RSA, quadratic and number-field sieves are effective. 2048 is slow. ECC better.
- Always pad message $m$ to get m' (more on this later)
- Use well-tested, well-analyzed implementation


## Padding RSA

- Problems with textbook RSA
- (Malleable) if $c=m^{e}$ and $c^{\prime}=c^{*} 2^{e}$, decrsypting $c^{\prime}$ gives $2 m$. i.e. can make predictable changes to ciphertexts.
- (Deterministic = not semantically secure) can distinguish between plain text $m$ and $m$ by encrypting both with public key.
- Basic idea is to pad $m$ with random bits $r$ and encrypt $m \| r$ to get $c$. Decrypt $c$ to get $m \| r$ and hence m . Neither Malleable nor Deterministic.


# Optimal Asymmetric Encryption Padding (Wikipedia: OAEP) 

Given, $\mathrm{n}=$ modulus of RSA, k0 fixed integer, G expands k0 bits to $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{kO}$ bits, H reduces n -k0 bits to k0 bits.

- pad m with k 1 zeroes to be m' of n -k0 bits
- Pick random k0 bit string r
- $X=m^{\prime} \underline{X O R} G(r), Y=r \underline{X O R} H(X)$
- Encrypt $X|\mid Y$ to get $c$; decrypt $c$ to get $X| \mid Y$
- Recover $r=Y$ XOR $H(X), m^{\prime}=X \underline{X O R} G(r)$
- Strip k1 zeroes off $m$ ' to get $m$


## El Gamal (Avoided RSA Patent)

- Hard problem: compute discrete logs mod p for large prime $p$, i.e. solve $y=g^{\times}$for $x \bmod p$
- Choose large $p$ and generator $g$ of $Z / p^{*}$
- G: pick random $d$ in $Z / p^{*}$, compute $e=g^{d}$. Then $k_{\text {pub }}=e$ and $k_{\text {priv }}=d$.
- To encrypt min Z/p, choose random (secret) integer k and compute $r=g^{k}$ and $t=e^{k} m$; discard $k . E(e, m)=$ $(r, t)$ and $D(d, c=(r, t))=t^{*} r^{-d}$. Exercise: $D(d, E(e, m))=m$.
- Choose a different $k$ for every (block) m .


## Homework: Why El Gamal works

- $D(d, E(e, m))=D\left(d,\left(g^{k}, e^{k} m\right)\right)=e^{k} m\left(g^{k}\right)^{-d}=$ $g^{\mathrm{ak}} m\left(\mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{-\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{m}$
- Exercise: D(e,E(d,m)) = m
- Hard: to discover d from e, one must solve e $=g^{d}$ for $d=\log _{g}(e)$. This is the discrete log problem.
- BEWARE: if same $k$ is used for two blocks $m$ and $\mathrm{m}^{\prime}$, then m ' can be recovered from m .


## Diffie-Hellman

- Pick a large prime p of 600 digits ~ 2000 bits
- Pick a finite cyclic group $G=(\mathrm{g})$ of order n
- G could be $Z / p^{*}$ or an elliptic curve of char $p$
- Alice chooses random secret a in $\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{n}$ and sends $A=g^{a}$ to Bob
- Bob chooses random secret bin $\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{n}$ and sends $B=g^{b}$ to Alice
- $A^{b}=B^{a}=g^{\text {ab }}$ is a shared secret key in $G$.


## Session Keys

- Suppose $G()=(k p u b, k p r i v)$ for $E$, $D$. Let $k_{\text {Apub }}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\text {Apriv }}$ be public and private keys for Alice.
- For Bob to share a secret key $k$ with Alice, he just encrypts k with $\mathrm{k}_{\text {Apub }}$ and sends the result c $=E\left(\mathrm{k}_{\text {Apub }}, \mathrm{k}\right)$ to Alice who can retrieve $\mathrm{k}=$ $D\left(k_{\text {Apriv }}, c\right)$ using her private key.
- Session keys used by many network protocols


## Elliptic Curves

- Weirstrauss eqn $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$ where the discriminant $4 a^{3}+27 b^{2} \neq 0$


$$
y^{2}=x^{3}-4 x+0.67
$$

## Points on an Elliptic Curve

- Write down equations for $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$, and get a finite abelian group $E(F)$ (assoc law tedious) over finite field $F$.
- Elliptic Discrete Logs: given $Y=r X$ find $r$.
- Choices are made to improve performance and difficulty of EDL problem. Also need a (public) message embedding i: $\{\mathrm{m}\} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}(\mathrm{F})$ or a way to use only the x-coordinates.


## Elliptic El Gamal

- For elliptic curve E over F, pick a "base point" G with $(G)=E(F)$ with $i:\{m\} \rightarrow E(F)$
- A private key is a random integer a; compute public $A=a G$. For a message $m$, pick random integer $k$ and
- Encrypt $E(A, m)=(k G, k A+i(m))$.
- Decrypt by $D(a,(R, T))=-a R+T$
- $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{m}))=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{a},(\mathrm{kG}, \mathrm{kA}+\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{m})))=-\mathrm{akG}+\mathrm{kA}+\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{m})=$ $-k A+k A+i(m)=i(m)$


## Choosing Fields and Equations for Elliptic Encryption

- Focus on $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}$ where $\mathrm{q}=2^{\mathrm{m}}$ or $\mathrm{q}=$ large p ; there are q distinct elliptic curves over $F_{q}$.
- For $q=2^{m}, E: y^{2}+x y=x^{3}+a x+b, 4 a^{3}+27 b^{2} \neq 0$
- $|F|$ and |Curve| need to be large. Eqn needs to be simple for easy computation. The base point (generator) $G$ is chosen so that its multiples rG are easy to compute.
- NIST has recommendations (FIPS 186), but there is a fog of suspicion (NY Times, 2013, and multiple other recent papers) due to NSA involvement. Non-NIST curves are gaining popularity Cf. Bernstein and Lange: http://safecurves.cr.yp.to


## Bernstein's Curve25519

- Dan Bernstein: lucid paper on encryption performance and security with Curve25519
- $\mathrm{p}=2^{255}-19, F=F_{p}=Z / p, g=9$
- $y^{2}=x^{3}+486662 x^{2}+x \quad$ (Montgomery form)
- Keys are 32 byte x -coordinates via map $\mathrm{E} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}$
- Generates 32 byte shared secret key
- Uses floating point registers for fast arithmetic
- Many applications today use Curve25519


## Cryptographic Hash Functions

- H:Data $\rightarrow$ Values where |Values| << |Data|
(a)Easy to compute; use entire data/message
(b)Infeasible to invert (to find preimage)
(c)Infeasible to modify w/o (large) value change (to find $2^{\text {nd }}$ preimage)
(d)Infeasible to find collisions
(e)Given $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{m}^{\prime}\right)$, cannot compute $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{m} \| \mathrm{m}^{\prime}\right)$
- If $\mid$ Values $\mid=2^{n}$ then want $\operatorname{Prob}(b)=\operatorname{Prob}(c)=1 / 2^{n}$ and $\operatorname{Prob}(d)=1 / 2^{n / 2}$. "Security" $=n / 2$.
- Data $\rightarrow$ Blocks $\rightarrow$ State $\xrightarrow{\lrcorner} \ldots \xrightarrow{\lrcorner}$ State $\rightarrow$ Output

| Algorithm and variant |  | Output <br> size <br> (bits) | Internal state size <br> (bits) | Block <br> size <br> (bits) | Max message <br> size <br> (bits) | Rounds | Operations | Security (bits) | Example Performance (MiB/S) ${ }^{[28]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MD5 (as <br> reference) |  | 128 | $\begin{gathered} 128 \\ (4 \times 32) \end{gathered}$ | 512 | $2^{64}-1$ | 64 |  | $<64$ <br> (collisions found) | 335 |
| SHA-O |  | 160 | $\begin{gathered} 160 \\ (5 \times 32) \end{gathered}$ | 512 | $2^{64}-1$ | 80 | And, Xor, Rot, <br> Add $\left(\bmod 2^{32}\right)$, | $<80$ <br> (collisions found) | - |
| SHA-1 |  | 160 | $\begin{gathered} 160 \\ (5 \times 32) \end{gathered}$ | 512 | $2^{64}-1$ | 80 | Or | $<80$ <br> (theoretical attack ${ }^{[29]}$ $\text { in } 2^{61} \text { ) }$ | 192 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { SHA } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SHA-224 } \\ & \text { SHA-256 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 224 \\ & 256 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 256 \\ (8 \times 32) \end{gathered}$ | 512 | $2^{64}-1$ | 64 | And, Xor, Rot, <br> Add $\left(\bmod 2^{32}\right)$, <br> Or, Shr | $\begin{aligned} & 112 \\ & 128 \end{aligned}$ | 139 |
|  | SHA-384 <br> SHA-512 <br> SHA. <br> 512/224 <br> SHA- <br> 512/256 | $\begin{aligned} & 384 \\ & 512 \\ & 224 \\ & 256 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 512 \\ (8 \times 64) \end{gathered}$ | 1024 | $2^{128}-1$ | 80 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 192 \\ & 256 \\ & 112 \\ & 128 \end{aligned}$ | 154 |
|  | SHA3-224 <br> SHA3-256 | $\begin{aligned} & 224 \\ & 256 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1152 \\ & 1088 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 112 \\ & 128 \end{aligned}$ |  |

## Avalanche Effect

Using RHash implementation (not official)
SHA3-256("The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog")= $0 x$ 69070dda01975c8c120c3aada1b282394e7f032fa9cf32f4cb 2259a0897dfc04
SHA3-256("The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.")= $0 x$ a80f839cd4f83f6c3dafc87feae470045e4eb0d366397d5c6ce 34ba1739f734d

## Hash Applications

- File/message integrity: publish hash value, recompute it after file/message transfer. "Message Authentication Code" = MAC = hash value
- Password storage: only store the hash value (usually store (salt, H (salt||password)) to avoid knowing Alice and Bob have the same pswd or precomputing H (common words).)
- Digital signatures (analog of ink): if k is a shared secret key for $(E, D)$ then $S(k, m)=E(k, H(m))$ is a signature, and can send ( $m, S(k, m)$ ) in the clear.
- Has the usual key sharing problem
- How about using public key encryption?


## Digital (Public Key) Signatures

- Want authentication and non-repudiation: If Alice provides a signature, verify authentic, and prove she cannot later deny that it is hers.
- Scheme-type hard problems
- Integer factorizations (RSA, Rabin)
- Discrete Logarithms (El Gamal, Schnorr, DSA, Nyberg-Rueppel)
- Elliptic Curves (ECDSA)


## RSA Signatures

- Pick large primes p and q with $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{pq}$. Pick $\mathrm{ed}=1$ in $\mathrm{Z} / \phi(\mathrm{n})^{*}$ where $\phi(\mathrm{n})=(\mathrm{p}-1)(\mathrm{q}-1)=\left|\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{n}^{*}\right|$
- d is private key, $e$ is public key.
- To sign $m$ in $Z / n$, compute $h=H(m)$, then $s=h^{d}$ $\bmod \mathrm{n}$ is the signature. Verify $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{h}$ in $\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{n}$.
- Authentication: $s^{e}=h^{\text {ed }}=h^{1+k \phi(n)}=h$ (exercise)
- Non-repudiation: only holder of d could have created s


## El Gamal Signatures

- Let $p$ be a large prime, $g$ a generator of $Z / p^{*}$
- Alice's private key $d$ with $1<d<p-1$. $e=g^{d}$ is the public key. Note $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{e}$, and hash fcn H are public.
- To sign $m$ in $Z / p$, pick random $k, 1<k<p-1$, gcd(k,p1) $=1$. Compute $h=H(m), r=g^{k}$, and $s=(h-d r) k^{-1} \bmod p-1$. If $s=0$, pick a new $k .(r, s)$ is the signature.
- Accept $(r, s)$ if $0<r<p \& 0<s<p-1 \& g^{h}=e^{r} r^{s} \bmod p$
- If $e, d$ are Alice's keys, then $e=g^{d}$ and $r=g^{k}$, hence $g^{h}=$ $g^{k s} g^{d r} g^{(t p-1)}=e^{\prime} r^{s}$ since $g^{p-1}=1 \bmod p$
- Given $g^{h}=e^{r} r^{s} \bmod p$, is s Alice's signature?


## Schnorr Signatures

(Patent expired in 2008)

- Let $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{g})$ have prime order q, e.g. G a subgroup of $Z / p^{*}$, let $H$ be a crypto hash fcn. Let $1<d<p-1$ be the private key, $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{d}}$ the public key. To sign a finite bit string message $m$, choose a random $k, 1<k<p-1$ and let $r=g^{k}$ be represented as a bit string. Let $h=H(m \|$ $r)$. Let $s=k$-hd mod $p-1$. The signature is ( $s, h$ ). Since $r=g^{s+h d+(t p-1)}=g^{s} e^{h}$ in $Z / p, h=H\left(m \| g^{s} e^{h}\right)$
- Accept $(s, h)$ if $h=H\left(m \| g^{s} e^{h}\right)$
- Nice: with Schnorr, no inversions are necessary to compute or verify the signature ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{h}$ )
"The" Digital Signature Algorithm DSA (Your tax dollars at work)
- Now FIPS 186-4, with H = SHA 1 or 2.
- Choose an $N$ bit prime q. $\mathrm{N}<0$ outputsize(H)
- Choose an L bit prime p: p-1=mq.
- Choose g in Z/p of order q, e.g. $g=h^{(p-1) / q}$
- Now apply El Gamal with (p,q,g)


## ECDSA - sign

## (Additive El Gamal)

- Elliptic $E, G$ base point of prime order $n, d_{A}$ in $Z / n$ is Alice's private key, $Q_{A}=d_{A} G$ her public key, cryptographic hash H . To sign message m in $\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{n}$ :

1. Select random $k$ in $Z / n^{*}$, different for all signatures
2. Calculate $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=k G$; convert $x_{1}$ to an integer $\bar{x}_{1}$
3. Calculate $r=\bar{x}_{1} \bmod n$. If $r=0 \bmod n$, goto 1
4. Calculate $e=H(m)$. If $e+r d_{A}=0 \bmod n$, goto 1
5. Calculate $s=k^{-1}\left(e+r d_{A}\right)$ in $Z / n^{*}$
6. Output ( $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s}$ ) as the signature

## ECDSA - verify

- Assume Bob has certified copy of Alice's credentials, e and m.
- Verify signature $(r, s)$
- Validate $r$ and $s$ are in $Z / n^{*}$
- Calculate $w=s^{-1}, u_{1}=e w, u_{2}=r w \bmod n$
- Calculate $\mathrm{C}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}, \mathrm{y}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{u}_{1} \mathrm{G}+\mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{A}}$
- If $C=O$, reject signature
- Convert $x_{2}$ to an integer $\bar{x}_{2} \bmod n$
- Signature valid iff $r=\bar{x}_{2} \bmod n$


## ECDSA - proof

- Why does verification work?
- If signature $(r, s)$ was computed by Alice, then $Q_{A}=d_{A} G, r=\bar{x}_{1} \bmod n$ where $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=k G$ for $k$ in $Z / n^{*}$, and $s=k^{-1}\left(e+r d_{A}\right)$ in $Z / n^{*}$ where $e=H(m)$. Write $C=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=u_{1} G+u_{2} Q_{A}$ where $u_{1}=e s^{-1}$ and $u_{2}=r s^{-1} \bmod n$. Thus $C=\left(e s^{-1}\right) G+\left(r s^{-1} d_{A}\right) G=\left(e+r d_{A}\right) s^{-1} G=\left(e+r d_{A}\right) k\left(e+r d_{A}\right)^{-1} G=k G=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$, and hence $r=\bar{x}_{1}=\bar{x}_{2} \bmod n$
- Conversely, suppose Bob receives $(r, s)$ as a signature. He computes $C=$ $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=u_{1} G+u_{2} Q_{A}$ where $u_{1}=e s^{-1}, u_{2}=r s^{-1} \bmod n$, and $e=H(m)$. Bob verifies that $r=\bar{x}_{2} \bmod n$. Write $C=k G$. We know $Q_{A}=d_{A} G$. Thus $k G=$ $C=\left(e s^{-1}\right) G+r d_{A} s^{-1} G=\left(e+r d_{A}\right) s^{-1} G$. Thus $k=\left(e+r d_{A}\right) s^{-1}$ in $Z / n$, and $s=$ $\left(e+r d_{A}\right) / k$. In other words, $r$ and $s$ are determined, and the signature $(r, s)$ must have been created using Alice's private key $d_{A}$.


## Sony Playstation3 ECDSA Hack Repeating use of $k$

- Given ( $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{s}^{\prime}$ ) for messages m and $\mathrm{m}^{\prime}$, with hashs e and e'; if same $k$, note that
- $\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{s}^{\prime}=\mathrm{k}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right) \bmod \mathrm{n}$, so $\mathrm{k}=\left(\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{e}^{\prime}\right) /\left(\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{s}^{\prime}\right)$ and one can solve $s=k^{-1}\left(e+r d_{A}\right)$ for Alice's private key $d_{A}$.

Ref: Console Hacking 2010

## Certificates <br> Authentication, Public Keys, etc

- Certificate Contents
- Certification Authority - CA
- Root CA - certifies its own keys!
- Certificate Owner
- Expiration Date
- Owner's Public Key
- Certificate serial number
- Other identifying info
- Digital Signature(s).


## Secure Socket Layer, SSL 2,3 $\rightarrow$ Transport Security Layer, TSL 3.1,...

- Secure TCP connection = Key exchange method, encryption algorithm, and content authentication hash algo
- Handshake:
- client hello: cipher proposal, 32 random bytes
- server hello: select cipher, 32 random bytes, certificate, hello done
- client key exchange: 48 byte secret encrypted with server public key, change to cipher msg
- Server change to cipher msg, finished record encrypted and MAC'd
- For some applications, server may request client certificate
- Record Processing: cuts msg into blocks, opt. compresses, hashes, encrypts block, sends to Transport Layer


## HTTPS

- HTTPS requires SSL/TLS to be used
- Some overhead, often accelerated with hw
- No client certificates.
- Marking cookies "secure" tells browser to only send cookie data, e.g. session Ids, via SSL/TLS. (Cookies should also be marked "HTTPonly" to inhibit javascript client-side attacks.)


## Recommended Key Lengths

- Need longer and longer keys over time
- Hardware improvements
- Algorithm improvements
- Ask how long your encryption should last! 50 years is reasonable....
- There are legal issues around both time and key storage. Don't lose your keys!!!
- NIST, ANSSI, BSI, NSA publish recommendations; also check www.keylength.com


## What to use and trust?

- OTR: Off the Record messaging
- Tor
- StartPage - privacy browser
- Tails - a live OS that can boot from an external drive. Used to preserve privacy.
- GPG, GPG4Win (Gnu freeware impl of OpenPGP)
- TrueCrypt - might be back online; does disk encryption
- MiniLock email uses Curve25519
- File Erasure - PGP does only one overwrite
- Air Gapped Computers - transfer via USB still tricky
- SSL/TLS??? OpenSSL? Not BGP due to router infections.
- Sage - open source math tool


## Final Thoughts

- Cryptography is only the non-people part of security.
- Known attacks prove future attacks will become more sophisticated and widespread with many actors.
- While credit card and IP theft is on the rise, a wave of ICS cyber-terrorism (stuxnet-style) has yet to hit big. We are not prepared for either.
- The economics of security will soon change as the cost of cyber-crime is fairly allocated.
- Encryption is hard to implement correctly, and Cryptanalysis is only in its infancy. Cryptography should be taught to undergraduate engineers. It is basic math and basic engineering.
- Backup your systems offline to protect from ransomware

